

## INTEGRATING FAMILY MEDICINE WITH EMERGENCY CARE AND ORTHOPEDIC SURGERY

Najlaa Mohammad Alsudairy\*<sup>1</sup>, Alanazi Mohammed Falah<sup>2</sup>, Sukayna Adil Alhamad<sup>3</sup>, Mohammed Saad Almutairi<sup>4</sup>, Alkhudaydi, Hatim Ahmed M<sup>5</sup>, Raghad Abdulrahman Alamri<sup>6</sup>, Abdullah Abdulaziz Alghuraymil<sup>7</sup>, Manal Mohammed S. Alqarni<sup>8</sup>, Ziad Abdulelah Bakadam<sup>9</sup>, [Mohannad Khalid Ahmad<sup>10</sup>, Zahra Saeed Kayed<sup>11</sup>, Zainab Mohamed Alhasan<sup>12</sup>, Asrar Ibrahim Y Asiri<sup>13</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Family consultant - Assistant Consultant FM, National Guard Hospital - King Abdulaziz Medical City - Jeddah, Saudi Arabia; <sup>2</sup>General practitioner - King Fahad Specialist Hospital - Tabuk, Saudi Arabia; <sup>3</sup>General Physician - Primary health care - Primary health care in Qatif - Qatif, Saudi Arabia; <sup>4</sup>General physician - King Fahad Specialist Hospital - Buraydah - Saudi Arabia; <sup>5</sup>ER doctor - King Faisal Medical Complex - Taif - Saudi Arabia; <sup>6</sup>Medical intern - Taif University - Taif - Saudi Arabia; <sup>7</sup>General practitioner - Erada Complex for Mental Health-Hail-Saudi Arabia; <sup>8</sup>Physiotherapist - King Abdulaziz Medical City - Jeddah - Saudi Arabia; <sup>9</sup>Medical student - University College Dublin (UCD) - Dublin - Ireland; <sup>10</sup>Medical student, University College Dublin - Dublin, Ireland; <sup>11</sup>General practitioner Dr. Hasan Haji Medical Centre W.L.L Kingdom of Bahrain - Bahrain; <sup>12</sup>General Practitioner - Bahrain Specialist Hospital - Kingdom of Bahrain, Bahrain; <sup>13</sup>Medical intern IBN SINA NATIONAL COLLEGE Jeddah, Saudi Arabia;

**Abstract**

Integrating Family Medicine with Emergency Care and Orthopedic Surgery can enhance patient outcomes by creating a more cohesive healthcare system. Family medicine serves as a patient's first point of contact, developing strong relationships and understanding patients' histories. When emergencies arise, family physicians can facilitate smoother transitions to emergency care and Orthopedic specialists, ensuring that patients receive timely interventions and appropriate follow-up care. This integrated approach not only streamlines communication among providers but also fosters a holistic view of the patient's health needs, leading to better-coordinated treatment plans that address both acute injuries and long-term health outcomes. Furthermore, collaboration between these specialties can improve preventative care and rehabilitation strategies for musculoskeletal injuries. Family medicine practitioners can educate patients on injury prevention and management, while emergency care providers can stabilize acute issues and Orthopedic surgeons can offer surgical interventions when necessary. This triadic relationship promotes the sharing of best practices and a comprehensive understanding of patient care, ultimately reducing the burden on emergency services and improving recovery rates. Such integration also emphasizes the importance of continuity of care, which is essential for effective rehabilitation and long-term health management.

**Keywords:** Integrated healthcare, Family medicine, Emergency care, Orthopedic surgery, Patient outcomes,

Manuscrito recibido: 01/12/2024

Manuscrito aceptado: 16/12/2024

\*Corresponding Author: Najlaa Mohammad Alsudairy, Family consultant Assistant Consultant FM, National Guard Hospital - King Abdulaziz Medical City Jeddah, Saudi Arabia

Correo-e: Najlaa.Alsudairy@gmail.com

Communication, Preventative care, Rehabilitation, Continuity of care, Coordinated treatment

**Introduction**

In the healthcare landscape, the integration of various medical specialties has gained prominence as a response to the evolving complexities of patient care. Among these specialties, family medicine, emergency care, and Orthopedic surgery stand out due to their unique yet overlapping scopes of practice [1].

Family medicine serves as a cornerstone of primary care, focusing on comprehensive health maintenance and disease prevention across all age groups. Physicians specializing in family medicine are trained to address a wide range of medical issues, ensuring continuity of care and fostering long-term relationships with their patients. Their role encompasses not only managing chronic conditions but also providing acute care and preventive services. However, the traditional framework of family medicine often positions it separately from emergency care and specialized surgical fields, such as orthopaedics [2].

Emergency care is characterized by its immediacy and the need to provide timely medical attention to patients experiencing acute illness or injury. Emergency physicians are adept at triaging and managing a variety of life-threatening conditions, often operating in high-pressure environments where quick decision-making is paramount. Whether dealing with traumatic injuries or acute medical conditions, emergency care teams are pivotal in stabilizing patients and facilitating their transition to appropriate subsequent care [3].

Orthopedic surgery, specializing in the diagnosis and treatment of musculoskeletal disorders, complements the roles of family medicine and emergency care. Orthopedic surgeons are essential in managing injuries and conditions related to bones, joints, and soft tissues—often seen in emergency settings. The intersection of these three specialties is particularly pronounced in scenarios involving trauma, fractures, and acute musculoskeletal pain, where immediate intervention is required [4].

The rationale for integrating family medicine with emergency care and Orthopedic surgery is multifaceted. First, it has the potential to enhance patient outcomes by facilitating seamless transitions in care, especially for patients presenting with acute injuries or chronic conditions exacerbated by acute events. For instance, a patient with a longstanding knee issue may seek emergency care after a fall that exacerbates their condition. Family physicians

can play a critical role in following up on referrals or coordinating care after surgery, ensuring that the patient receives comprehensive support [5].

Moreover, the integration can lead to more effective use of healthcare resources. Emergency departments are frequently inundated with non-emergent cases that could have been managed at the primary care level. By equipping family medicine specialists with the tools and protocols to manage minor Orthopedic injuries, we can decongest emergency departments, allowing emergency care professionals to focus on patients with life-threatening conditions. Further, the collaborative approach can reduce healthcare costs by eliminating unnecessary imaging studies and duplicate services while increasing patient satisfaction through streamlined care pathways [6].

Educational initiatives also play a vital role in this integration. Training family medicine physicians in Orthopedic care and emergency medicine can prepare them to manage acute injuries more effectively. Conversely, involving family medicine physicians in emergency department teams can allow emergency providers to understand the continuum of care better, leading to informed decision-making regarding referrals and follow-up [7].

The potential challenges of integrating these specialties must also be considered. Differences in scope of practice, training, and approach to patient care can create barriers that need to be addressed. Additionally, systemic issues, such as reimbursement models and interprofessional collaboration frameworks, must be navigated to foster an environment conducive to integration [8].

**Family medicine, emergency care, and orthopaedics**

The healthcare system is an intricate network of various specialties and sub-specialties designed to provide comprehensive care to patients with diverse medical needs. Among these specialties, three foundational pillars stand out: family medicine, emergency care, and orthopaedics. Collectively, these three disciplines form a triad of care that addresses a wide spectrum of health issues. While they each possess unique characteristics, they are interconnected in their objectives of promoting health, diagnosing injuries and illnesses, and providing effective treatment [9].

Family medicine serves as the primary care foundation within the healthcare system. Physicians in this field specialize in the comprehensive care of individuals and families across all age groups, from infants to the elderly. The essence of family medicine lies in its holistic approach to health, emphasizing

not only the physical aspects of care but also the psychological and social dimensions [10].

Family medicine practitioners build lasting relationships with their patients, enabling them to gain deep insights into the familial and social contexts that may impact their patients' health. These physicians are often the first point of contact in the medical system, responsible for diagnosing a wide variety of conditions, managing chronic diseases, and promoting preventative care through screenings and health education. They also coordinate referrals to specialists, including those in emergency care and orthopaedics when specialized intervention is required [10].

By maintaining an ongoing dialogue with their patients, family physicians play a crucial role in identifying risk factors and health disparities, facilitating timely interventions. As champion advocates for patient wellness, they emphasize preventive measures such as vaccinations, screenings, and lifestyle modifications. Their extensive knowledge of community resources further enhances their ability to provide comprehensive care that addresses the multifaceted nature of health and illness [11].

While family medicine focuses on continuity of care across a patient's lifetime, emergency care occupies a critical niche, responding to acute medical situations that require immediate attention. Emergency care providers, including emergency physicians and medical staff in hospitals and urgent care facilities, are trained to handle life-threatening conditions, traumatic injuries, and other urgent health issues.

Emergency care is characterized by its rapid response and ability to make quick, decisive actions under pressure. This field encompasses a diverse range of scenarios, including heart attacks, strokes, severe infections, fractures, and traumatic injuries from accidents or violence. Emergency physicians are trained in advanced life support techniques and possess a broad skill set that enables them to assess and stabilize patients in critical conditions [11].

One of the unique aspects of emergency care is its interdisciplinary nature, often involving collaboration with a wide range of specialists. For instance, when a patient arrives with a broken bone, Orthopedic specialists may be consulted for surgical intervention, while radiologists provide imaging support. Beyond the immediate clinical tasks, emergency care providers must also be adept at risk assessment, triaging patients based on the severity of their conditions, and ensuring that all necessary steps are taken to achieve optimal outcomes [12].

Orthopaedics, as a specialty, is concerned with the diagnosis, treatment, and rehabilitation of musculoskeletal disorders, which include bones, joints, ligaments, tendons, and muscles. Orthopedic surgeons are skilled in both non-operative and operative management of conditions that affect mobility and physical performance. Common Orthopedic issues include fractures, arthritis, sports injuries, degenerative diseases, and congenital conditions [13].

Orthopedic care often showcases the importance of evidence-based practices, utilizing advanced imaging technology such as MRI and CT scans to accurately diagnose conditions. Once a diagnosis is established, Orthopedic practitioners work alongside patients to develop personalized treatment plans. These plans may include physical therapy, surgical intervention, or a combination of both, depending on the complexity and nature of the injury [13].

An essential aspect of orthopaedics is rehabilitation, which focuses on restoring function and mobility following surgical or non-surgical treatment. Orthopedic specialists collaborate closely with physical therapists and rehabilitation professionals to create tailored recovery plans that ensure a return to optimal performance and quality of life [14].

While family medicine, emergency care, and orthopaedics may appear to be distinct areas of practice, they are inextricably linked within the healthcare delivery system. The triad of care emphasizes continuity and coordination among various healthcare providers, fostering an environment where patients receive comprehensive, cohesive care [15].

For instance, a family physician may identify a patient with increasing joint pain and refer them to an Orthopedic specialist for evaluation and management. Conversely, an emergency care physician may encounter a patient with a fracture who requires both immediate treatment and subsequent Orthopedic follow-up. Such scenarios underscore the importance of inter-professional collaboration, ensuring seamless transitions in care [15].

Moreover, the triad of care reflects the significance of addressing health issues from various angles. While family medicine lays the foundation for long-term health management, emergency care provides rapid response for acute illnesses and injuries, and orthopaedics offers specialized interventions for musculoskeletal conditions. Collectively, these disciplines work to enhance patient outcomes and facilitate a holistic approach to healthcare [16].

#### **The role of family medicine in emergency situations and orthopaedics**

Family medicine, recognized for its comprehensive and holistic approach to healthcare, plays a vital role in managing emergency situations and Orthopedic concerns. As the first point of contact for many patients, family physicians are often on the front lines of health crises, providing essential care that can significantly influence outcomes [17].

Family medicine emphasizes a patient-centred approach, emphasizing continuity of care, family involvement, and community health. Family physicians are trained to treat a wide range of conditions across all ages, developing long-term relationships with patients that foster a deep understanding of their medical history, lifestyle factors, and treatment preferences. This comprehensive training makes family physicians uniquely suited to respond to emergency situations effectively [18].

In emergencies, particularly those that are sudden and unforeseen, family medicine practitioners stand out due to their adaptability, extensive training, and familiarity with patient histories. When a patient faces an acute health crisis, such as a heart attack, stroke, or severe injury, family doctors often serve as the first responders. They are equipped to provide immediate care, stabilize patients, and determine the most appropriate course of action [19].

Family physicians often work closely with emergency medical services (EMS) and may even serve as a resource during emergencies. Their knowledge of community health trends, prevalent health issues, and local resources allows them to guide emergency responders effectively. By knowing their patients personally, family physicians can provide critical information regarding pre-existing conditions, medications, and allergies, which can inform the treatment process during crises [19].

In emergency situations requiring specialized care, family medicine practitioners play a critical role in triaging patients. They are skilled at assessing the urgency and severity of various cases, determining which patients require immediate transfer to specialized facilities for advanced care such as surgery or intensive care. Their ability to quickly coordinate with emergency departments and specialists ensures that patients receive appropriate care in a timely manner [20].

Orthopedic issues, ranging from fractures to chronic musculoskeletal pain, often require a multifaceted approach to treatment. Family medicine practitioners are not merely gatekeepers but active participants in Orthopedic care. They provide initial assessments, manage conservative treatment options, and facilitate referrals as needed. Understanding the integration of Orthopedic care within family medicine is essential for appreciating the broader scope of family practice [20].

Family physicians frequently encounter patients with Orthopedic complaints, such as joint pain, sprains, strains, or fractures. Their training enables them to perform initial assessments, including physical examinations and the interpretation of basic imaging studies like X-rays. A family physician can initiate conservative treatment strategies, such as prescribing pain management protocols, recommending physical therapy, and advising on lifestyle modifications aimed at preventing further injury [21].

A critical aspect of family medicine in managing Orthopedic conditions is patient education. Family physicians educate patients about their conditions, treatment options, and the importance of adherence to rehabilitation protocols. They play a vital role in developing individualized patient plans that encourage active participation in recovery processes, emphasizing the significance of rehabilitation to regain mobility and strength [22].

In cases where advanced Orthopedic intervention is necessary, family physicians act as coordinators between patients and Orthopedic specialists. They refer patients when surgical options are indicated, ensuring that essential health information accompanies the referral. This continuity of care fosters trust and encourages patients to engage in their health journey fully.

Furthermore, family medicine specialists often have established relationships with Orthopedic surgeons and physical therapists, which can streamline the referral process and enhance communication among providers. Such collaborative practices ensure that patients receive comprehensive care that addresses not only their physical conditions but also their emotional and psychological well-being [23].

Family medicine's role in emergencies and orthopaedics transcends individual patient care; it also addresses broader issues within community health. Many family physicians work in underserved areas where access to specialized care is limited. By being able to provide a wide range of services, they help bridge gaps in healthcare access, reducing disparities faced by marginalized populations [24].

Family physicians often engage in community health education and emergency preparedness initiatives, equipping families with the knowledge and resources to respond effectively in emergencies. They may conduct workshops on injury prevention, first aid, and recognition of emergency symptoms, empowering

communities to take proactive measures in health crises [25].

The collaboration among family medicine, emergency care, and orthopaedics is vital to ensure that patients receive timely and appropriate treatment. Effective communication between these specialties can significantly reduce delays in diagnosis and enhance patient access to care. For instance, when a patient with a suspected fracture visits a family physician, timely referrals to Orthopedic specialists can expedite treatment plans. Similarly, emergency care providers can quickly assess and stabilize patients before referring them to orthopaedics, ensuring a seamless transition of care [26- 30].

Interdisciplinary collaboration also fosters a comprehensive approach to patient education regarding injury prevention, management, and rehabilitation. Family physicians can educate patients on maintaining overall health and preventing injuries, while Orthopedic specialists can offer targeted advice on rehabilitation strategies following injuries. This collaborative model encourages patients to take an active role in their health journey, leading to better adherence to treatment plans and improved long-term outcomes [31].

Despite the evident benefits of collaboration, several challenges persist. One significant hurdle is the fragmentation of healthcare systems, which can lead to delays in communication and care transitions. Different electronic health record (EHR) systems may hinder the sharing of patient information, resulting in incomplete histories and mismanaged treatments. Additionally, geographic limitations may restrict collaboration efforts in rural or underserved areas, where access to specialists is limited [31].

Another challenge is the ongoing issue of resource allocation. Family medicine practices may be overwhelmed with routine care, while emergency departments face high patient volumes and may not have adequate time to facilitate thorough communication with Orthopedic colleagues. Moreover, Orthopedic surgeons may experience time constraints, leading to challenges in managing consultations and follow-up care for referred patients. These conditions can contribute to a disjointed care experience for patients [31].

To overcome these challenges, healthcare systems must prioritize establishing formal collaboration protocols. Implementing integrated care pathways that delineate roles and responsibilities among family medicine, emergency care, and Orthopedic specialists can enhance communication and coordination. Regular multidisciplinary meetings can facilitate open discussions about patient management and foster relationships among providers [32].

Utilizing technology, such as telemedicine and shared EHR systems, can further enhance collaboration by allowing for real-time communication and patient data sharing. Telehealth consultations can bridge gaps in care, particularly in rural areas, ensuring that patients receive timely guidance and interventions from specialists without the need for extensive travel.

Incorporating team-based training and education for healthcare providers can also solidify collaborative efforts. Interdisciplinary workshops and simulation training can promote understanding of each specialty's role and enhance teamwork, leading to improved patient care delivery. By fostering a culture of collaboration, healthcare providers can develop a unified approach to patient care that emphasizes the contributions and expertise of each specialty [32].

### Benefits of collaboration

The healthcare landscape is continually evolving, driven by the need for more efficient, patient-centred care that addresses the diverse and complex needs of individuals. Among various branches of healthcare, family medicine, emergency services, and orthopaedics play pivotal roles in promoting patient well-being and enhancing healthcare delivery. The integration of these distinct yet interconnected fields can yield a multitude of benefits, from improved patient outcomes and streamlined care to reduced healthcare costs and enhanced communication among providers [33].

#### 1. Enhancing Patient-Centered Care

One of the foremost benefits of integrating family medicine, emergency services, and orthopaedics is the enhancement of patient-centred care. Family medicine physicians are often the first point of contact for patients, providing comprehensive, continuous care that considers the psychological, social, and physical dimensions of health. When family medicine and emergency services are integrated, patients receive timely interventions during critical emergencies, while the family physician maintains oversight of their overall health history and treatment plan [33].

Moreover, incorporating Orthopedic specialists into this framework ensures that patients with musculoskeletal issues, injuries, or chronic pain receive specialized care promptly. For instance, a patient who presents to the emergency department with a fracture can be quickly assessed by an Orthopedic surgeon, while their primary care physician remains informed and involved. This approach provides a seamless transition from emergency care to follow-up treatment, fostering continuity and minimizing the risk of

fragmented care [34].

#### 2. Improved Communication and Coordination

Integration among these three specialties significantly enhances communication and coordination among healthcare providers. In traditional models, siloed practices may lead to inefficiencies, with care providers often unaware of other specialists' recommendations or interventions. By breaking down these barriers, an integrated system promotes real-time information sharing, allowing healthcare teams to collaborate effectively in formulating treatment plans [35].

Using shared electronic health records (EHRs) is a crucial component of this communication strategy. EHR systems can facilitate the seamless exchange of patient information among family physicians, emergency department staff, and orthopedic specialists. This shared access helps to minimize duplication of tests, reduces wait times for follow-up appointments, and fosters a holistic understanding of a patient's needs, ultimately leading to improved care [35].

#### 3. Accelerated Recovery and Rehabilitation

Recovery from musculoskeletal injuries and surgical interventions can be hastened through integrated care models. For instance, a patient who has undergone orthopedic surgery will benefit from a coordinated plan that includes immediate post-operative care in the emergency department, ongoing management by family medicine practitioners, and rehabilitation services from orthopedic professionals.

Through a collaborative approach, rehabilitation protocols can be tailored to individual needs, incorporating insights from both family medicine and orthopedic practitioners. This integration allows for more effective pain management, early intervention strategies in case of complications, and consistent follow-up, significantly enhancing the speed and efficiency of recovery [36].

#### 4. Cost Reduction and Efficiency

The rising costs of healthcare are a significant concern for patients, providers, and policymakers alike. The integration of family medicine, emergency services, and orthopedics can lead to substantial cost savings by reducing unnecessary emergency department visits, preventing complications, and decreasing hospital readmission rates. By offering comprehensive care that addresses both acute and chronic conditions, patients are less likely to require costly interventions or extended hospital stays.

Furthermore, integrated care can alleviate the burden on emergency services, which are often inundated with patients whose conditions could be managed through primary care. By improving accessibility and providing appropriate referrals for orthopedic consultations, healthcare systems can enhance efficiency, ultimately resulting in lower expenditures and better resource utilization [37].

#### 5. Comprehensive Preventive Care

Integration of family medicine with emergency services and orthopedics allows for a stronger emphasis on preventive care. Family physicians play a critical role in identifying risk factors and chronic conditions within the patient population, which can lead to targeted interventions that prevent acute emergencies from arising. For example, a patient with chronic joint pain can be monitored by their family physician for risk factors related to injuries, thus reducing future orthopedic emergencies.

Additionally, through proactive patient education and regular screenings, family physicians and other healthcare providers can address lifestyle factors that contribute to musculoskeletal issues, such as obesity, physical inactivity, and improper ergonomics. By fostering a culture of prevention, integrated care not only reduces the incidence of acute orthopedic injuries but also promotes overall population health [38].

#### 6. Enhanced Patient Satisfaction

Finally, an integrated approach enhances patient satisfaction by providing a more cohesive and responsive healthcare experience. Patients benefit from the continuity of care that an integrated model facilitates, allowing them to feel more secure in their treatment journey. Improved communication among providers means that patients are better informed about their conditions and treatment options, leading to enhanced trust and engagement in their healthcare.

Moreover, by streamlining referrals and decreasing wait times for specialty consultations, integrated systems create a smoother healthcare experience, thereby further increasing patient satisfaction. Quick access to necessary orthopedic services after an emergency visit ensures that patients perceive their care as timely and effective [39].

#### Impact of integrated approaches on patient satisfaction and outcomes

In the realm of healthcare, the pursuit of improved patient satisfaction and outcomes has led to a paradigm shift toward integrated approaches. This transformation recognizes the multifaceted nature of health and wellness, emphasizing the need to address a spectrum of patient needs rather than viewing healthcare solely through the lens of disease management. Integrated approaches combine various elements of care—both medical and non-medical—bridging gaps between different healthcare providers and emphasizing continuity, coordination, and comprehensive care [40].

Integrated care refers to a systematic coordination of general and behavioral health services, ensuring that patients receive comprehensive, seamless, and patient-centered care. This process often involves collaborations among various healthcare professionals, including doctors, nurses, social workers, and mental health experts. Integrated approaches can exist within different contexts, such as primary and secondary services, the integration of physical and mental health care, or even the incorporation of social services into conventional medical settings [41].

At the heart of integrated approaches is the concept of patient-centered care, which places the patient at the forefront of all healthcare decisions. This model encourages shared decision-making between healthcare providers and patients, allowing the latter to voice their preferences and concerns actively. By fostering open communication, integrated approaches ensure that care is tailored to the individual needs of patients, enhancing their overall experience and satisfaction [42].

One of the most pronounced impacts of integrated approaches is their ability to significantly improve patient satisfaction. Research consistently demonstrates that patients who receive coordinated care report higher satisfaction levels. This improvement can be attributed to several factors:

1. **Streamlined Communication:** Integrated care models often employ a single care pathway, enabling clearer communication among all parties involved. When healthcare providers are on the same page, patients benefit from reduced frustration and a smoother healthcare journey [43].
2. **Reduced Fragmentation:** In traditional healthcare systems, patients frequently encounter fragmented care, where different specialists may not communicate or coordinate effectively. Integrated approaches mitigate this issue by ensuring that all aspects of a patient's care are unified, decreasing the likelihood of misdiagnosis, overlapping treatment, or conflicting advice.
3. **Holistic Care:** Integrated approaches recognize that health extends beyond the absence of disease. By considering psychological, social, and environmental factors, these models tend to address the underlying issues that contribute to a patient's health—or lack thereof. This holistic perspective resonates with patients and satisfies their broader expectations of healthcare [44].
4. **Accessibility of Services:** Integrated care can lead to improved access to services by collocating multiple health services in one location or offering a combined service model. Patients find it more convenient to receive various treatments in a single visit, thereby adding to their satisfaction [45].

While patient satisfaction is a crucial component of healthcare, it is equally important to consider how integrated approaches affect clinical outcomes. Studies have indicated that integrated care can lead to improved health outcomes across various patient groups, including those with chronic diseases, mental health disorders, and complex medical needs [46].

1. **Chronic Disease Management:** Integrated models have proven effective for managing chronic diseases such as diabetes, hypertension, and heart disease. By providing continuous, coordinated care, healthcare providers can better monitor patients' conditions, adjust treatment plans in real-time, and promote adherence to medication and lifestyle changes. Consequently, this helps prevent complications and reduces the overall burden on healthcare systems [47].
2. **Mental Health Integration:** There's growing recognition of the critical interplay between physical and mental health. Integrated approaches that include mental health services within primary care settings have shown improvements in managing both mental and physical health conditions. Patients with mental health issues often face barriers to receiving appropriate care, and integrating these services can lead to earlier interventions and better overall health [48].
3. **Emergency Room and Hospital Utilization:** Improved coordination of care through integrated models often translates into a reduction in emergency room visits and hospital admissions. When patients receive proactive, continuous care, they are less likely to experience health crises that necessitate urgent care. This not only benefits the patients in terms of reduced stress and better health outcomes but also alleviates strain on healthcare resources [49].

4. **Preventive Care:** Integrated approaches emphasize preventive care services, including routine screenings, immunizations, and health education. The focus on prevention fosters a culture of health and wellness, encouraging patients to engage with healthcare proactively rather than reactively. Such behavior not only enhances individual patient outcomes but can lead to broader public health benefits through the reduction of disease prevalence [49].

5. Despite the demonstrated benefits, the implementation of integrated approaches is not without challenges. Various barriers can hinder the effective realization of

#### Integrated care models, including

1. **Financial Constraints:** Funding for integrated care initiatives may be limited, especially in under-resourced areas. Developing collaborative models may require upfront investment in infrastructure and workforce training [50].
2. **Interprofessional Collaboration:** The effectiveness of integrated care heavily relies on positive working relationships among professionals from different disciplines. Cultivating collaboration can be difficult due to professional silos, varying organizational cultures, and misaligned incentives [50].
3. **Navigating Policies and Regulations:** Healthcare systems are subject to a web of policies and regulations that can complicate the establishment of integrated approaches. Providers must navigate issues around data sharing, privacy, and reimbursement models tailored for integrated care [51].
4. **Patient Engagement:** Although integrated approaches emphasize patient-centered care, effectively engaging patients in their care plans remains a challenge. Patients must develop health literacy and motivation to take an active role in their health journey [51].

#### Conclusion

Integrating family medicine with emergency care and Orthopedic surgery represents a vital advancement in creating a more cohesive and efficient healthcare system. This integration not only enhances patient experiences through streamlined communication and coordinated treatment plans but also fosters a holistic approach to patient care. By enabling family physicians to play a central role in managing acute injuries and facilitating timely referrals, we can improve clinical outcomes and ensure that patients receive comprehensive follow-up care.

Moreover, addressing the challenges of collaboration across these specialties is crucial in optimizing healthcare delivery. Initiatives that promote interdisciplinary training, joint care protocols, and shared communication platforms can pave the way for more effective partnerships among providers. Ultimately, strengthening the relationship between family medicine, emergency care, and Orthopedic surgery can lead to better prevention strategies, timely interventions, and improved long-term health outcomes for patients, ultimately enhancing the overall quality of care in the healthcare system.

#### References

1. Price R, Makasa E, Hollands M. World Health Assembly resolution WHA68.15: "Strengthening emergency and essential surgical care and anesthesia as a component of universal health coverage" - Addressing the public health gaps arising from lack of safe, affordable and accessible surgical and anesthetic services. *World J Surg*. 2015. September;39(9):2115–25.
2. Starfield B, Shi L, Macinko J. Contribution of primary care to health systems and health. *Milbank Q*. 2005;83(3):457–502.
3. Hsieh VC-R, Wu JC, Wu T-N, Chiang TL. Universal coverage for primary health care is a wise investment: evidence from 102 low- and middle-income countries. *Asia Pac J Public Health*. 2015. March;27(2):NP877–86.
4. Meara JG, Leather AJ, Hagander L, Alkire BC, Alonso N, Ameh EA, et al. *Global Surgery 2030: evidence and solutions for achieving health, welfare, and economic development*. *Lancet*. 2015. August 8;386(9993):569–624.
5. O'Malley AS, Rich EC, Maccarone A, DesRoches CM, Reid RJ. Disentangling the linkage of primary care features to patient outcomes: a review of current literature, data sources, and measurement needs. *J Gen Intern Med*. 2015. August;30(S3) Suppl 3:S576–85.
6. Draft operational framework. Primary health care: transforming vision into action. Report by the Director-General. EB146/5. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2019.
7. Truché P, Shoman H, Reddy CL, Jumbam DT, Ashby J, Mazhiqui A, et al. Globalization of national surgical, obstetric and anesthesia plans: the critical link between health policy and action in global surgery. *Global Health*. 2020. January 2;16(1):1.

8. Fan VS, Burman M, McDonnell MB, Fihn SD. Continuity of care and other determinants of patient satisfaction with primary care. *J Gen Intern Med.* 2005; 20(3):226-33.
9. Roa L, Jumbam DT, Makasa E, Meara JG. Global surgery and the sustainable development goals. *Br J Surg.* 2019. January;106(2):e44-52.
10. Tsimtsiou Z. Primary health care and universal health coverage: achieving health for all. *Health Prim Care.* 2017;1(1):1.
11. Primary health care. Main terminology. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2020.
12. World Health Organization. The European Health Report 2012—Charting the Way to Well-Being. World Health Organization; Geneva, Switzerland: 2012.
13. Eton D.T., Ramalho de Oliveira D., Egginton J.S., Ridgeway J.L., Odell L., May C.R., Montori V.M. Building a measurement framework of burden of treatment in complex patients with chronic conditions: A qualitative study. *Patient Relat. Outcome Meas.* 2012;3:39-49.
14. Manning E., Gagnon M. The complex patient: A concept clarification. *Nurs. Health Sci.* 2017;19:13-21.
15. Schaink A.K., Kuluski K., Lyons R.F., Fortin M., Jadad A.R., Upshur R., Wodchis W.P. A scoping review and thematic classification of patient complexity: Offering a unifying framework. *J. Comorb.* 2012;2:1-9.
16. Nardi R., Scanelli G., Corrao S., Iori I., Mathieu G., Amatrian R.C. Comorbidity does not reflect complexity in internal medicine patients. *Eur. J. Intern. Med.* 2007;18:359-368.
17. Loeb D.F., Binswanger I.A., Candrian C., Bayliss E.A. Primary Care Physician Insights Into a Typology of the Complex Patient in Primary Care. *Ann. Fam. Med.* 2015;13:451-455.
18. Zullig L.L., Whitson H.E., Hastings S.N., Beadles C.A., Kravchenko J., Akushevich I., Maciejewski M.L. A Systematic Review of Conceptual Frameworks of Medical Complexity and New Model Development. *J. Gen. Intern. Med.* 2016;31:329-337.
19. Allen J., Hutchinson A.M., Brown R., Livingston P.M. User experience and care for older people transitioning from hospital to home: Patients' and carers' perspectives. *Health Expect.* 2018;21:518-527.
20. Nicolaus S., Crelier B., Donzé J.D., Aubert C.E. Definition of patient complexity in adults: A narrative review. *J. Multimorb. Comorbidity.* 2022;12:26335565221081288.
21. Shippee N.D., Shah N.D., May C.R., Mair F.S., Montori V.M. Cumulative complexity: A functional, patient-centered model of patient complexity can improve research and practice. *J. Clin. Epidemiol.* 2012;65:1041-1051.
22. Safford M.M., Allison J.J., Kiefe C.I. Patient Complexity: More Than Comorbidity. The Vector Model of Complexity. *J. Gen. Intern. Med.* 2007;22(Suppl. 3):382-390.
23. Mora K., Dorrejo X.M., Carreon K.M., Butt S. Nurse practitioner-led transitional care interventions: An integrative review. *J. Am. Assoc. Nurse Pract.* 2017;29:773-790.
24. Teaching at the bedside: strategies for optimizing education on patient and family centered rounds. Ngo TL, Blankenburg R, Yu CE. *Pediatr Clin North Am.* 2019;66:881-889.
25. The seamless communication on a rural island in Japan: a qualitative study from the perspective of healthcare professionals. Kuroda M, Ohta R, Kuroda K, Yamashiro S, Kita K. *Int J Environ Res Public Health.* 2021;18.
26. Effects of practicing in remote Japanese islands on physicians' control of negative emotions: A qualitative study. Ohta R, Kaneko M. *J Rural Med.* 2017;12:91-97.
27. Coordination of health behavior counseling in primary care. Cohen DJ, Balasubramanian BA, Isaacson NF, Clark EC, Etz RS, Crabtree BF. *Ann Fam Med.* 2011;9:406-415.
28. Inquiry into physicians' scope of practice in Japanese rural hospitals during the COVID-19 pandemic: A serial cross-sectional study. Kusunoki M, Ohta R, Suzuki K, Maki T, Sano C. *Cureus.* 2022;14:0.
29. Older people's help-seeking behaviors in rural contexts: A systematic review. Ohta R, Ryu Y, Sano C. *Int J Environ Res Public Health.* 2022;19.
30. Family medicine in perspective. MacWhinney IR. *N Engl J Med.* 1975;293:176-181.
31. Rural physicians' perceptions about the challenges of participating in interprofessional collaboration: insights from a focus group study. Ohta R, Ryu Y, Otani J. *J Interprof Educ Pract.* 2020; 20:100345.
32. Aging of hospital physicians in rural Japan: A longitudinal study based on national census data. Matsumoto M, Kimura K, Inoue K, Kashima S, Koike S, Tazuma S. *PLoS One.* 2018; 13:0.
33. The contribution of citizens to community-based medical education in Japan: a systematic review. Ohta R, Ryu Y, Sano C. *Int J Environ Res Public Health.* 2021;18.
34. Sources of anxiety in young rural physicians working alone on remote islands: A qualitative study. Kuroda K, Kuroda M, Ohta R. *J Gen Fam Med.* 2022;23:128-132.
35. Building on a commitment: new housing, education center a model for rural medical training. Ortolon K. *Tex Med.* 2010; 106:43-48.
36. Woo B.F.Y., Lee J.X.Y., Tam W.W.S. The impact of the advanced practice nursing role on quality of care, clinical outcomes, patient satisfaction, and cost in the emergency and critical care settings: A systematic review. *Hum. Resour. Health.* 2017;15:63.
37. Palmer K., Marengoni A., Forjaz M.J., Jureviciene E., Laatikainen T., Mammarella F., Muth C., Navickas R., Prados-Torres A., Rijken M., et al. Multimorbidity care model: Recommendations from the consensus meeting of the Joint Action on Chronic Diseases and Promoting Healthy Ageing across the Life Cycle (JA-CHRODIS). *Health Policy.* 2018; 122:4-11.
38. Barry S., Fhallaún M.N., Thomas S., Harnett P.J., Burke S. Implementing Integrated Care in Practice—Learning from MDTs Driving the Integrated Care Programme for Older Persons in Ireland. *Int. J. Integr. Care.* 2021; 21:15.
39. House S., Wilmoth M., Kitzmiller R. Relational coordination and staff outcomes among healthcare professionals: A scoping review. *J. Interprof. Care.* 2021; 36:891-899.
40. Carter N., Bryant-Lukosius D., DiCenso A., Blythe J., Neville A.J. The Use of Triangulation in Qualitative Research. *Oncol. Nurs. Forum.* 2014; 41:545-547.
41. Flick U. *Handbuch Qualitative Forschung in der Psychologie.* VS Verlag für Sozialwissenschaften; Wiesbaden, Germany: 2011. Triangulation.
42. Xyrichis A., Reeves S., Zwarenstein M. Examining the nature of interprofessional practice: An initial framework validation and creation of the InterProfessional Activity Classification Tool (InterPACT). *J. Interprof. Care.* 2018;32:416-425.
43. Schmitz C., Atzeni G., Berchtold P. Challenges in interprofessionalism in Swiss health care: The practice of successful interprofessional collaboration as experienced by professionals. *Swiss Med. Wkly.* 2017;147:w14525.
44. Lambert S.D., Harrison J.D., Smith E., Bonevski B., Carey M., Lawsin C., Paul C., Girgis A. The unmet needs of partners and caregivers of adults diagnosed with cancer: A systematic review. *BMJ Support. Palliat. Care.* 2012;2:224-230.
45. Weii H., Horns P., Sears S.F., Huang K., Smith C.M., Wei T.L. A systematic meta-review of systematic reviews about interprofessional collaboration: Facilitators, barriers, and outcomes. *J. Interprof. Care.* 2022;36:735-749.
46. Tsakitidis G., Timmermans O., Callewaert N., Verhoeven V., Lopez-Hartmann M., Truijen S., Meulemans H., Van Royen P. Outcome Indicators on Interprofessional Collaboration Interventions for Elderly. *Int. J. Integr. Care.* 2016;16:5.
47. Fillietaz S.S., Berchtold P., Kohler D., Peytremann-Bridevaux I. Integrated care in Switzerland: Results from the first nationwide survey. *Health Policy.* 2018; 122:568-576.
48. Tong A., Sainsbury P., Craig J. Consolidated criteria for reporting qualitative research (COREQ): A 32-item checklist for interviews and focus groups. *Int. J. Qual. Health Care.* 2007; 19:349-357.
49. Bundesamt für Gesundheit. Patientengruppen und Schnittstellen (Koordinierte Versorgung)—Patient Groups and Interfaces (Coordinated Care). Swiss Federal Office of Public Health; Liebefeld, Switzerland: 2018.
50. Schot E, Tummers L, Noordegraaf M. Working on working together. A Systematic Review on How Healthcare Professionals Contribute to Interprofessional Collaboration. *J Interprof Care.* 2020;34(3):332-342.
51. Peltonen J, Leino-Kilpi H, Heikkilä H, et al. Instruments measuring interprofessional collaboration in healthcare - A scoping review. *J Interprof Care.* 2020;34(2):147-161.